RECEIVED

BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

JAN 🖇 3 55 PM '01

OFFICE OF THE SEGRETARY

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2000

Docket No. R2000-1

NOTICE OF FURTHER ERRATUM TO MEMORANDUM OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE ON RECONSIDERATION AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITION (ERRATA)

The United States Postal Service hereby gives notice of the following additional correction to the Memorandum of the United States Postal Service on Reconsideration and Request for Expedition, filed December 20, 2000. A revised page is attached.

Page 35, TYBR table: Change "59.89%" to "58.89%".

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorney,

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268-2989 Fax -5402 January 8, 2001

Daniel J. Foucheaux Jr.

COMMISSION RECOMMENDED RATES AND FEES APPLIED TO TYAR BILLING DETERMINANTS (APPENDIX G, SCHEDULE 1)

	Regular	Nonprofit	ECR	Nonprofit ECR
Revenue	\$9,075,572	\$1,520,815	\$5,156,258	\$266,550
Volume (000)	41,000,842	11,463,830	32,905,893	2,844,821
Rev/PC (cents)	22.135	13.266	15.67	9.37

Nonprofit as Percentage

of Commercial 59.93%

59.80%

COMMISSION RECOMMENDED RATES APPLIED TO TYBR BILLING DETERMINANTS*

	Regular	Nonprofit	ECR	Nonprofit ECR
Revenue**	\$9,500,751	\$1,505,299	\$5,272,094	\$268,927
Volume(000)	42,783,773	11,510,795	33,630,517	2,907,206
Rev/PC (cents)	22.206	13.077	15.677	9.250

Nonprofit as Percentage of Commercial

58.89%

59.00%

*TYBR Billing Determinants

TYBR Volumes Distributed on Hybrid PeriodBilling Determinants, from USPS-LR-I-436, Standard Mail (A) portion: WP 1, pages 5-6 (Regular); WP 1, page 7 (ECR); WP 2,Pages 5-6 (Nonprofit); and WP 2, page 7,(Nonprofit ECR).

** Revenues

Revenues have been calculated using recommended rates, but existing (R97-1) fees. It is anticipated that the likely effective of using recommended fees would have been to push the percentages in the direction of 60 percent, but still leave them lower than those implicit in Appendix G, shown above.